Some builders are choosing to discontinue insurance coverage

# IS NOW REALLY THE TIME TO BARE IT ALL?

#### WITH THE DOWNTURN IN THE ECONOMY

over the last few years, and the fact that the home building industry has been particularly hard hit, I have heard from clients and colleagues that more builders are discontinuing their annual renewable commercial general liability insurance programs, deciding instead to go bare.

This absolutely scares the crap out of me — and it should scare you too.

## Time-on-the-risk analysis leaves uninsured hanging dry

Two factors that militate against going bare can be traced to two Colorado appellate court decisions. The first of these is <u>Public Service Company of Colorado w. Wallis and Companies.</u> While not a construction defect suit, this case adopted the time-on-the-risk analysis for the allocation of risk in cases involving continuous and progressive losses, such as construction defect suits.

The way time-on-the risk analysis works is a court divides the total amount of liability by the number of years at issue. The court then allocates liability accordingly to each policy year. To determine the total number of years at issue, the number of years are typically added between substantial completion of a home and the date of the statutory notice of claim under the Construction Defect Action Reform Act.

For instance, if you received today a notice of claim on a home substantially completed on this date in 2006, there would be five years of time on the risk. Allocating the risk across the five years of time-on-the-risk would result in 20 percent of the claim being attributed to each year.

Here's the rub. For each year during which you do not renew your GL policy, you create an uncovered exposure. So if you carried insurance for only year one from the example above, then had four years of uncovered exposure, your carrier would cover 20 percent of the ultimate indemnity award (assuming it's covered by the policy) and the remaining 80 percent of the loss would be uninsured. As a result, the risk of that loss would fall on you.

### Corporate officers can be held personally liable

The second factor that militates toward continuing coverage is the <u>Hoang v. Arbess</u> decision,<sup>2</sup> where the Colorado Court of Appeals held that in cases involving construction defects, home owners can hold corporate officers of the contracting company personally liable for the construction defects — if they are directly involved in the tortious conduct by approving of, directing, actively participating in or cooperating in the negligent conduct of the corporation.

In other words, an officer of a corporation cannot be held personally liable for a corporation's tort solely by reason of his or her official capacity. However, an officer may be held personally liable for his or her

individual acts of negligence, even though it was committed on behalf of the corporation, which is also held liable.

In the Hoang case, Mr. Arbess was held personally liable because he 'approved of, directed, actively participated in or cooperated in the negligent conduct.' Plaintiffs presented evidence that the "defendant was personally involved in each step of the construction, chose the individual home sites, oversaw the subcontractors, set policies and procedures for the subcontractors to follow, and visited the construction sites at least once a week."

How does this differ from your role in the homes you've built? Mr. Arbess was also found liable because of his decision to use slab-on-grade basement floors instead of the structural floors recommended by the geotechnical engineer.

## Don't expose yourself; get GL coverage

The results of these combined two concepts can be devastating. It is not a situation where you will be leaving home owners with judgments against only insolvent entities or single purpose LLCs. They may well end up with a judgment against you personally. If you have personal liability for uncovered exposures, you will wish that you kept your annual renewable commercial general liability policies in place.

It's a small price to pay in comparison with having to defend yourself from — and pay any judgment in — a construction defect lawsuit. If you have discontinued your insurance coverage, or are considering doing so, I urge you to talk with your agent about the decision. Instead of leaving the annual renewable program in place, there may be other options, such as purchasing tail coverage. This not the time to bare all.

David M. McLain is a founding member of Higgins, Hopkins, McLain & Roswell, LLC, a firm that embodies and exemplifies the principles of service and stewardship. HHMR focuses on serving clients selflessly and to the best of its abilities. HHMR is highly regarded for its expertise in construction law and the litigation of construction claims. HHMR represents a wide variety of clients, from individuals to small businesses and Fortune 500 companies.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 986 P.2d 924 (Colo. 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 80 P.3d 863 (Colo. App. 2003).